





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/314,889	05/19/1999	GUO-LIANG YU	1488.0310006	5766	
22195 7	7590 09/03/2003				
	NOME SCIENCES INC	EXAMINER			
9410 KEY WE ROCKVILLE,			ULM, JOHN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1646 DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	34	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		`		( )					
			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			09/314,889		YU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		John D. Ulm	<u> </u>	1646					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this common Reply	nunication app	ars on the cover shet w	vith the c	orrespondence address	; <b></b>			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this caperiod for reply specified above is less than this period for reply is specified above, the maximular to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three moned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.13 communication. rty (30) days, a reply m statutory period v reply will, by statute, ths after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be tim rty (30) days NTHS from BANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>05 /</u>	<u>//arch 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condi closed in accordance with the pri ion of Claims					rits is			
4)	Claim(s) 27-56 and 102-219 is/a	re pending in	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) <u>122-129,131-138,140-147,149-156,158-165 and 167-175</u> is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet	is/are rejecte	d.						
7)	Claim(s) <u>29,30,33,34,48,177,178</u>	,188,189,199,	200,210 and 211 is/are o	bjected	to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or	election requirement.						
· · _	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by								
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/a		•						
44)[]:	Applicant may not request that any		•		• •				
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction to			disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
12)[7]	If approved, corrected drawings are The oath or declaration is objected	·							
		I to by the Exc	arrimiter.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	sina far farairn	mailmaitedan 25 tt 0.0	0.440/-	\				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some * c) None of	_	priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)	)-(α) or (τ).				
a)ı			have been received						
				\	om Na				
						_			
* 5	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a clair	n for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e	e) (to a provisional appli	cation).			
	)  The translation of the foreign Acknowledgment is made of a clair								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449		5) Notice of		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 27,28,31,32,35-47,49-56,102-121,130,139,148,157,166,175,176,179-187,190-198,201-209 and 212-219.

- 1) Claims 27 to 56 and 102 to 219 are pending in the instant application. Claims 57 to 101 have been canceled and claims 122 to 219 have been added as requested by Applicant in Paper Number 27, filed 07 November of 2002.
- 2) Any objection or rejection of record that is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 3) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4) The declaration by Thi-San Migone that was filed on 21 November of 2002 under 37 CFR 1.132 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 27 to 56 and 102 to 121 based upon lack of utility. The explanation contained therein of the relevance of the activation of the NF- B transcription factor by the protein encoded by the claimed nucleic acid, when agonist activated, in view of the disclosure in lines 20 to 24 on page 46 of the instant specification that NF-B activation is pro-inflammatory supports the apparently contradictory assertions in the specification that an agonist to the claimed protein can induce apoptosis whereas an antagonists thereto can be anti-inflammatory. Therefore, the assertion on page 46 of the instant specification that the protein of the instant invention can be employed to identify antagonists thereto, which would be expected to be anti-inflammatory, constitutes a credible, specific and substantial utility. However, the utility of a protein encoded by a nucleic acid of the instant invention in the identification of agonists and antagonists thereto is limited to a protein that provides an authentic response, which is predictive of an in vivo physiological response. This declaration does not support a specific and substantial utility for an isolated nucleic acid

encoding all or a portion of a protein that does not provide an authentic response .

because the information derived therefrom would have no immediate practical utility.

- 5) Claims 122 to 129, 131 to 138, 140 to 147, 149 to 156, 158 to 165 and 167 to 175 are allowable as written.
- 6) Claims 27, 28, 31, 32, 35 to 47, 49 to 56, 102 to 121, 176, 179 to 187, 190 to 198, 201 to 209 and 212 to 219 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the instant specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. These claims encompass an isolated nucleic acid encoding a protein or polypeptide which is encoded by a nucleic acid that hybridizes to a reference nucleic acid under specified conditions or which has a specified degree of sequence similarity to all or a specified portion of SE ID NO:2. The instant specification discloses that the polypeptide encoded by the claimed nucleic acid can be employed to identify agonists and antagonists thereto. The information derived from the claimed polypeptide is only relevant in so far as it is predictive of the action of a compound on a native human protein. The only protein which is described in the instant specification that could reasonably be expected to behave in a manner that is predictive of a native human receptor protein is a protein comprising the complete amino acid sequence presented in SEQ ID NO:2. An isolated nucleic acid encoding only a specified portion of that amino acid sequence is only disclosed as useful in so far as it can be used to produce the polypeptide encoded thereby, which has specific practical utility in the production of antibodies to the native protein. Whereas one could readily made any one of the tens of thousands of material embodiments encompassed by the claims, one

would not expect the majority of those material embodiments to encode a protein which can function in a manner that is representative of an authentic human receptor protein.

In re Fisher, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970), held that

"Inventor should be allowed to dominate future patentable inventions of others where those inventions were based in some way on his teachings, since such improvements while unobvious from his teachings, are still within his contribution, since improvement was made possible by his work; however, he must not be permitted to achieve this dominance by claims which are insufficiently supported and, hence, not in compliance with first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112; that paragraph requires that scope of claims must bear a reasonable correlation to scope of enablement provided by specification to persons of ordinary skill in the art; in cases involving predictable factors, such as mechanical or electrical elements, a single embodiment provides broad enablement in the sense that, once imagined, other embodiments can be made without difficulty and their performance characteristics predicted by resort to known scientific law; in cases involving unpredictable factors, such as most chemical reactions and physiological activity, scope of enablement varies inversely with degree of unpredictability of factors involved."

Application/Control Number: 09/314,889

Art Unit: 1646

Because the instant specification does not identify those amino acid residues in SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant specification which are critical to the structural and functional integrity of a receptor protein comprising that sequence, identify a structurally analogous protein for which this information is known and could be applied to the instant protein by extrapolation, or even provide a single working example of an isolated nucleic acid encoding an intentionally modified protein of the instant invention, much less a representative number of claimed embodiments, an artisan can not change even a single residue within the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 and predict the effects of that change on the performance of that protein "by resort to known scientific law".

- 7) Claims 130, 139, 148, 157, 166, 175, 186, 197, 208 and 219 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are vague and indefinite because the identity of the polypeptide being produced by the claimed method is unclear. The act of culturing a host cell inherently results in the production of thousands of different polypeptides.
- 8) Claims 29, 30, 33, 34, 48, 177, 178, 188, 189, 199, 200, 210 and 211 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9) Applicant's arguments filed 07 November of 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1646

Presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (703) 308-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached at (703) 308-6564.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242 or (703) 872-9306. Official responses under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800